

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Citizenship and Immigration Services

PUBLIC COPY

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 I Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20536

MAR 17 2004

File: LIN 02 022 52365

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3), as a skilled worker. The petitioner is a bakery. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a head baker/supervisor. As required by statute, the petition is accompanied by an individual labor certification approved by the Department of Labor. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary met the training and experience requirements of the position offered.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) provides that "[a] n officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal."

The petitioner filed an appeal on December 5, 2002. The petitioner indicated on part 2 of the Form I-290B appeal that he would send a brief and/or evidence within 30 days. Part 3 of the Form I-290B providing for a brief statement of the reason for the appeal states "incorrect information provided prior in error. Correct information is in the process of being obtained from Mexico. Awaiting documentation." The petitioner submitted a letter with the appeal stating the same information. No further evidence or argument has been received.

The vague recitation of an expectation of future evidence to be submitted is not sufficient basis for a substantive appeal. It does not specifically address errors in the director's decision or even allege that the director reached the wrong conclusion.

The petitioner has failed to specifically identify an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.